# **Department of Economic Security**Five – Year Review Reports

A.R.S. § 41-1056 requires that at least once every five years, each agency shall review its administrative rules and produce reports that assess the rules with respect to considerations including the rule's effectiveness, clarity, conciseness and understandability. The reports also describe the agency's proposed action to respond to any concerns identified during the review. The reports are submitted in compliance with the schedule provided by the Governor's Regulatory Review Council. A.R.S. § 18-305, enacted in 2016, requires that statutorily required reports be posted on agency's website.

## Department of Economic Security Title 6, Chapter 13 -State Assistance Program Five-Year Review Report

### 1. Authorization of the rule by existing statutes:

General Statutory Authority: A.R.S. §§ 41-1954(A)(3) and 46-134(1), and 46-134(10)

Specific Statutory Authority: A.R.S. § 36-716 authorizes the Tuberculosis Control Program.

A.R.S. § 46-241.01 authorizes the Short-term Crisis Services Program.

### 2. The objective of each rule:

### ARTICLE 1. TUBERCULOSIS CONTROL PROGRAM

Rule	Objective
R6-13-102	This rule defines terms used in Chapter 13 in order to allow potential applicants and
	the public to better understand the rules that apply to the Tuberculosis Control
	Program.
R6-13-103	This rule specifies individuals who may be potentially eligible to receive Tuberculosis
	Control Program assistance which enables individuals to better determine whether
	they may be potentially eligible for this assistance and to make an informed decision
	about submitting an application.
R6-13-104	This rule notifies an applicant of the various methods by which they may submit an
	application for Tuberculosis Control Program assistance to the Family Assistance
	Administration (FAA).
R6-13-105	This rule specifies the actions that the Department will take upon receipt of an
	application for Tuberculosis Control Program assistance.
R6-13-106	This rule specifies the responsibilities of an applicant during the initial eligibility
	interview process, enabling the applicant to be better prepared for their interview.
R6-13-107	This rule specifies the responsibilities of the Department during the initial eligibility
	interview process, in order to allow the applicant to better understand the eligibility
	information that will be requested of them and the methods by which they may provide
	such information, if needed, after the interview.

Rule	Objective
R6-13-108	This rule specifies the responsibilities of the Department when determining eligibility
	for Tuberculosis Control Program assistance.
R6-13-109	This rule explains what applicant and recipient information the Department shall retain
	and maintain and the time frames for retaining and maintaining such information.
R6-13-110	This rule cites the Department's responsibilities in maintaining the confidentiality of an
	applicant's or recipient's records.
R6-13-111	This rule provides notice to the public of the Department's responsibility to make the
	FAA Policy Manual available to the public on the Department's internet website and to
	make the online manual accessible for public inspection at each FAA office.
R6-13-112	This rule explains the three nonfinancial eligibility factors that an applicant must
	satisfy in order to be potentially eligible for Tuberculosis Control Program assistance,
	enabling individuals to better determine whether they may be potentially eligible for
	this assistance and to make an informed decision as to submitting an application.
R6-13-113	This rule establishes a resource limit that the applicant and the assistance unit may
	not exceed in order to be eligible for Tuberculosis Control Program assistance. The
	rule also specifies which resources the Department shall exclude when determining
	the amount of countable resources.
R6-13-114	This rule requires the Department to verify the value of the resources of an applicant
	and assistance unit when determining eligibility for Tuberculosis Control Program
	assistance.
R6-13-115	This rule specifies the Department's policies regarding whether a resource is
	considered available or unavailable to an applicant or the assistance unit when the
	Department determines the amount of resources countable toward Tuberculosis
	Control Program eligibility.
R6-13-116	This rule explains the Department's policies for the treatment of lump-sum payments
	when determining eligibility for Tuberculosis Control Program assistance.
R6-13-117	This rule defines the term "income" and requires the Department to consider all non-
	excluded income received by an assistance unit when determining eligibility for
	Tuberculosis Control Program assistance.
R6-13-118	This rule lists the types of income that the Department shall exclude when determining
	eligibility for Tuberculosis Control Program assistance.

Rule	Objective
R6-13-119	This rule explains the methods by which the Department shall determine the countable
	monthly income of an assistance unit and the method used to determine a cash benefit
	amount.
R6-13-120	This rule requires the Department to calculate an assistance unit's countable monthly
	gross income by converting countable income received other than monthly into a
	monthly amount.
R6-13-121	This rule explains the various methods the Department uses to convert income that is
	received less frequently than on a monthly basis into a monthly amount when the
	Department determines an assistance unit's countable monthly gross income.
R6-13-122	This rule requires the Department to verify all income prior to determining eligibility and
	a benefit amount.
R6-13-123	This rule requires the Department to subtract a work expense deduction from the
	earned income of an employed assistance unit member when determining the
	countable monthly net income.
R6-13-124	This rule explains the methodology used when determining income eligibility for, and
	the amount of, a Tuberculosis Control Program cash benefit.
R6-13-125	This rule requires the Department to pay benefits to an assistance unit for each month
	of eligibility and establishes the time frames for both when the initial month benefits
	and the on-going monthly benefits are to be available to the assistance unit.
R6-13-126	This rule explains the methods used by the Department to provide assistance
	payments to the assistance unit.
R6-13-127	This rule explains who the EBT card may be issued to and the Department's
	responsibilities in issuing the EBT card.
R6-13-128	This rule explains the recipient's right to designate up to two EBT Alternate Card
	Holders and the responsibilities of an EBT Alternate Card Holder.
R6-13-129	This rule explains the entitlement to any benefits remaining in its EBT account when
	the assistance unit moves to another state.
R6-13-130	This rule explains the recipient's responsibilities in reporting a lost, stolen, or damaged
	EBT account access card and the Department's responsibilities in issuing a new card.
R6-13-131	This rule notifies a recipient that they will lose access to their EBT account when there
	has been no account activity for 90 days and the process to follow if the recipient wants
	to regain access to their EBT account. Also, this rule notifies a recipient that access
i	1

Rule	Objective
	to their EBT account will terminate when the EBT account has been inactive for a
	period of one year after the original date of availability of benefits and that any
	assistance benefits in the account at that time will be recouped by the Department.
R6-13-132	This rule requires the Department to correct underpayments of assistance by issuing
	the assistance unit a supplemental payment.
R6-13-133	This rule specifies when an overpayment of assistance exists and the Department's
	responsibility to pursue collection of an overpayment under state law.
R6-13-134	This rule explains the overpayment recovery methods that the Department uses.
R6-13-135	This rule explains the method the Department uses to establish the beginning date of
	an overpayment of assistance.
R6-13-136	This rule specifies that the Department shall terminate eligibility when notified by the
	Department of Health Services of completion of the recipient's treatment for
	tuberculosis.
R6-13-137	This rule requires the Department to complete a review of all eligibility factors for each
	assistance unit at least once every six months and specifies the Department's
	responsibilities in the review process.
R6-13-138	This rule informs the recipient of the requirement to report changes, the timeframe for
	timely reports of changes, and the changes that need to be reported and verified.
R6-13-139	This rule lists the Department's responsibilities when processing a change.
R6-13-140	This rule explains the circumstances in which the Department is required to reinstate
	benefits when a case has been terminated.
R6-13-141	This rule explains the Department's requirement to send the recipient a notice of
	adverse action and the information that must be included in such a notice.
R6-13-142	This rule establishes that an applicant or recipient is entitled to request an
	administrative hearing to challenge an adverse action taken by the Department. The
	rule informs an applicant or recipient of the adverse actions that may be appealed.
R6-13-143	This rule clarifies the terms "day" and "work day" and computation of time relating to
	timeframes used in the hearings and appeals processes.
R6-13-144	This rule explains the process by which an applicant or recipient can request an appeal
	of an adverse action and the Department's responsibilities in processing the request.
R6-13-145	This rule explains the responsibilities of the FAA for transmitting an appeal request to
	the Office of Appeals.

Rule	Objective
R6-13-146	This rule permits a recipient to continue to receive assistance at the benefit level they
	were receiving prior to an adverse action when an appeal request has been timely
	submitted. The rule lists the circumstances under which a stay of adverse action is
	not required.
R6-13-147	This rule requires the Office of Appeals to schedule a hearing, the time frame for
	scheduling the hearing, and the notice requirements for all interested parties.
R6-13-148	This rule provides parties in an appeal with the ability to request a postponement of a
	hearing, and the process for requesting a postponement.
R6-13-149	This rule enumerates the responsibilities of the Hearing Officer in the appeals process.
R6-13-150	This rule specifies the process by which a party may request a change of Hearing
	Officer and the responsibilities of the party and the Office of Appeals in this process.
R6-13-151	This rule specifies the process by which a party may request that a subpoena be issued
	and the responsibilities of the party, the Hearing Officer, and the Office of Appeals in
	this process.
R6-13-152	This rule lists the rights of the appellant and the Department in the appeals process.
R6-13-153	This rule explains the process by which an appellant may withdraw an appeal and the
	responsibilities of the Office of Appeal in this process.
R6-13-154	This rule explains the requirements of the Hearing Officer in situations in which the
	appellant fails to appear for a scheduled hearing and specifies the actions that an
	appellant may take to request that the proceedings be reopened.
R6-13-155	This rule explains the hearing procedures, including the responsibilities of the parties
	and the Hearing Officer.
R6-13-156	This rule establishes a timeframe for the issuance of a hearing decision, the elements
	which must be included in the decision, and the notification requirements.
R6-13-157	This rule explains the types of decisions that may be rendered by the Hearing Officer
	and the effect of those decisions.
R6-13-158	This rule permits a party to appeal an adverse decision issued by a hearing officer to
	the Department's Appeals Board and explains the process for filing the appeal.
R6-13-159	This rule specifies the responsibilities of the Appeals Board when a party has appealed
	an adverse decision issued by a hearing officer.
R6-13-160	This rule stipulates the rights of a party adversely affected by an Appeals Board
	decision to seek judicial review pursuant to state law.
L	

Rule		Objective								
R6-13-161	This	rule	stipulates	that	assistance	payments	are	contingent	on	budgetary
	appropriation.									

Rule	Objective					
R6-13-801	The objective of this rule is to define terms used in Article 8, Short-term Crisis Services.					
R6-13-802	The objective of this rule is to establish the short-term crisis services application process.					
R6-13-803	The objective of this rule is to provide notice to contract providers of the requirements by which an applicant would be eligible or ineligible for the services.					
R6-13-804	The objective of this rule is to establish the financial eligibility requirements regarding countable income for households applying for short-term crisis services.					
R6-13-805	The objective of this rule is to define the emergent need eligibility requirements for households experiencing, or which expect to experience, an emergent need.					
R6-13-806	The objective of this rule is to define the types of assistance and the duration for short-term crisis services.					
R6-13-807	The objective of this rule is to establish the payment period and the cap amounts for emergency shelter at homeless facilities, utility assistance, utility repair or replacement and deposit, rent, rental deposits or mortgage assistance, and for special needs.					
R6-13-808	The objective of this rule is to establish the contract agency's responsibility for sending the applicant a decision letter upon determination of eligibility.					
R6-13-809	The objective of this rule is to establish the complaint, hearing and appeal process for denials of eligibility, the amount of assistance awarded and termination of reduction of assistance.					

### 3. Are the rules effective in achieving their objectives?

Yes ☐ No ⊠

If not, please identify the rule(s) that is not effective and provide an explanation for why the rule(s) is not effective.

### ARTICLE 1. TUBERCULOSIS CONTROL PROGRAM

Several of the rules in Article 1 address appeals and fair hearings processes that are conducted by and should align with the practices of the Department's Appellate Services Administration/Office of Appeals. The rules identified below have become outdated and do not reflect current practice.

In July 2018 the Department filed Emergency Rules for Title 6, Chapter 14 – Food Stamps Program. These Emergency Rules were extended in January 2019 and will expire in July 2019. Article 4 of the Emergency Rules is specific to Appeals and Fair Hearings and reflects current practices utilized by the Department's Appellate Services Administration/Office of Appeals. While the Emergency Rules are contained in Chapter 14, many of the practices apply to Appeals and Fair Hearings for multiple programs including the Tuberculosis Control Program.

For the purposes of this Five-Year Review Report, the rules identified below could be more effective if revised to more accurately describe various elements of the Appeals and Fair Hearings practices that have been instituted since the Tuberculosis Control Program rules were revised in 2012 and the last Five-Year Review Report was completed in 2014.

Rule	Explanation
R6-13-142	This rule is not effective because the language in section (A) is too restrictive. In addition to appealing an adverse action, any applicant or recipient who disagrees with any action or inaction by the Department has the right to challenge the action or
	inaction by requesting an administrative or fair hearing. (R6-14-401)
R6-13-143	This rule is not effective because rather than considering a document that is sent by the United States Postal Service as having been given to the addressee on the date

Rule	Explanation
	mailed, documents sent by the Department are considered to be received by an
	applicant or recipient on the fifth calendar day after the date mailed. (R6-14-402)
R6-13-144	This rule is not effective because in addition to submitting a verbal or written request
	for a hearing, an applicant or recipient may now file a request for hearing by fax or Internet. (R6-14-403)
R6-13-147	This rule is not effective because the information that is required to be included in a
	Notice of Hearing in section (D) does not include the additional information that the
	Department now provides in the notice. (R6-14-405)
R6-13-148	This rule is not effective because Department policy now allows the appellant to
	receive one postponement of the first scheduled hearing, not to exceed 30 days.
	The Office of Appeals may grant subsequent postponements upon a showing of
	good cause. (R6-14-406)
R6-13-152	This rule is not effective because Department policy now allows the appellant the
	right to advance arguments without undue interference and to question or refute any
	testimony or evidence. This additional right is not currently specified in the rule. (R6-14-410)
R6-13-153	This rule is not effective because it does not include the Department's responsibility
	to send a written notification to the appellant confirming that an oral request to
	withdraw an Appeal has been received and providing the appellant an opportunity to reinstate a hearing. (R6-14-411)
R6-13-154	This rule is not effective because the Department has instituted some procedural
	changes to the process for establishing good cause for failure to appear at a
	Hearing. For example, a separate Hearing to determine the validity of a good cause
	claim is no longer required when the party requests that a Hearing be reopened and
	provides an acceptable good cause reason for having not attended the original
	Hearing. The hearing officer may now reopen the proceedings and schedule a new
	hearing with notice to all parties. (R6-14-412)
R6-13-155	Regarding the Hearing proceedings, the Arizona Revised Statutes citation in section
	(C) has changed. Also, the Office of Appeals no longer provides a transcript of

Rule	Explanation					
	hearings. The Office provides an audio recording of the hearing on a compact disk at					
	no charge. (R6-14-413)					
R6-13-156	The Hearing decision now contains an additional statement that an appeal of the					
	decision may result in a reversal of the decision. (R6-14-414)					
R6-13-158	Sections (C) and (D) in this rule are no longer required. (R6-14-416)					
R6-13-159	Section (B) in this rule regarding additional actions that the Appeals Board may take					
	following a decision is no longer accurate. (R6-14-417)					

Rule	Explanation
R6-13-807	This rule could be made more effective with slight modification to several of its
	subparts:
	A.1. The allowance for emergency shelter is \$5,000. This service today is provided
	for the most part by other State programs except in uncommon circumstances. To
	avoid duplication of services, the Department is considering a reduction in this
	benefit level to \$2,500.
	A.2. The current benefit limit for utility assistance is \$500. Utility costs, especially
	electricity, have risen an average of 30-40 percent for households in Arizona over the
	last several years and are likely to continue increasing. The Department is
	considering raising this benefit limit to \$1,200, to align with the limit on federally
	funded utility repair or replacement and deposit in subpart A.3 of this rule.
	A.5. The benefit limit for rent, rental deposits and mortgage assistance is currently
	\$1,500. This limit reduces the effectiveness of STCS at assisting clients in the face of
	increases in the costs of obtaining and maintaining housing in Arizona. Rental costs
	in Arizona increase on average 3.3 percent per year and rose 5.6 percent over the
	last 12 months. More than 70 percent of STCS households are renters. Rental
	services are by far the most common assistance provided under STCS. The

Department is considering increasing the benefit limit to \$2,000 for rental/mortgage
assistance and deposits.

### 4. Are the rules consistent with other rules and statutes?

Yes	No	$\triangleright$

If not, please identify the rule(s) that is not consistent. Also, provide an explanation and identify the provisions that are not consistent with the rule.

### ARTICLE 1. TUBERCULOSIS CONTROL PROGRAM

Rule	Explanation
R6-13-142	In July 2018 the Department filed Emergency Rules for Title 6, Chapter 14 – Food
R6-13-143	Stamps Program. These Emergency Rules were extended in January 2019 and will
R6-13-144	expire in July 2019. Article 4 of the Emergency Rules is specific to Appeals and Fair Hearings and reflects current practices utilized by the Department's Appellate
R6-13-147	Services Administration/Office of Appeals. For consistency, there are several
R6-13-148	Appeals and Fair Hearings related rules in Article 1 that may be revised or enhanced to more accurately state current Department policies and procedures.
R6-13-152	
R6-13-153	
R6-13-154	
R6-13-155	
R6-13-156	
R6-13-158	
R6-13-159	

Rule	Explanation
l Ruie	EXDIANATION

R6-13-802	This rule requires applicants to participate in a face-to-face interview in order to	
	apply for Short-term Crisis Services. This is more stringent than federal TANF	
	regulations, and the Department is considering removing this requirement.	

### 5. Are the rules enforced as written?

V		NI.	$\nabla$
Yes		No	ľX

If not, please identify the rule(s) that is not enforced as written and provide an explanation of the issues with enforcement. In addition, include the agency(s) proposal for resolving the issue.

### ARTICLE 1. TUBERCULOSIS CONTROL PROGRAM

The Department enforces rules in Chapter 13 Article 1 to the extent that they do not conflict with state or federal law or Department policy.

Rule	Explanation
R6-13-142	As noted in sections 3 and 4 of this report, there are several Appeals and Fair
R6-13-143	Hearings related rules in Article 1 that may be revised or enhanced to more
	accurately reflect current Department practice. The Department follows the policies
R6-13-144	and procedures enumerated in Title 6, Chapter 14 – Food Stamps Program, Article 4
R6-13-147	(Appeals and Fair Hearings) across multiple programs, including the Tuberculosis
R6-13-148	Control Program.
R6-13-152	
R6-13-153	
R6-13-154	
R6-13-155	

R6-1	3-156			
R6-1	3-158			
R6-1	3-159			
	<u>ARTICI</u>	LE 8. SHORT-TERM CRISIS SERVICES		
	The De	partment enforces rules in Chapter 13 Article 8 as written.		
		parament emerces raise in chapter to raise e as millerin		
<u>6.</u>	Are the	rules clear, concise, and understandable?	Yes 🗌	No 🖂
	If not, p	lease identify the rule(s) that is not clear, concise, or understandal	ble and provid	le an
		ation as to how the agency plans to amend the rule(s) to improve c	larity, concise	eness,
	and un	derstandability.		
	<u>ARTICI</u>	<u>LE 1. TUBERCULOSIS CONTROL PROGRAM</u>		

Rule	Explanation
R6-13-142	The rules in Chapter 13, Article 1 are generally clear, concise, and understandable.
R6-13-143	As noted in sections 3, 4, and 5 of this report, there are several Appeals and Fair
R6-13-144	Hearings related rules in Article 1 that may be revised to more accurately reflect
R6-13-147	current Department practices. The Department follows the policies and procedures enumerated in Title 6, Chapter 14 – Food Stamps Program, Article 4 (Appeals and
R6-13-148	Fair Hearings) across multiple programs, including the Tuberculosis Control
R6-13-152	Program.
R6-13-153	
R6-13-154	
R6-13-155	
R6-13-156	
R6-13-158	
R6-13-159	

Rule	Explanation
R6-13-802	Subpart B.1.c of this rule cites R6-13-805 for calculation of gross monthly income,
	but the correct citation is R6-13-804.
R6-13-807	Subpart A.6 of this rule cites R6-13-808(A)(4) for special needs, but the correct
	citation is R6-13-806(A)(4).
R6-13-807	Subparts A.3 and A.4 require technical correction for clarity.
	A.3 should read: "For federally funded utility deposit, equipment repair or
	replacement, the actual cost or \$1,200, whichever is less."
	A.4 should read: "For state-funded utility deposit, equipment repair or replacement,
	the actual cost or \$600, whichever is less."

### 7. Has the agency received written criticisms of the rules within the last five years?

Yes $ert$ No $ert imes$
-------------------------

If yes, please fill out the table below:

Commenter	Comment	Agency's Response
NA	NA	NA

### 8. Economic, small business, and consumer impact comparison:

### ARTICLE 1. TUBERCULOSIS CONTROL PROGRAM

Article 1, Tuberculosis Control Program, was made effective June 30, 2012. The Department prepared an Economic Impact Statement for that rulemaking. The following table updates the economic impact provided in that statement.

Description	2012 Rulemaking	Actual Impact
Costs and benefits to	In fiscal year 2011, 12 individuals	In FY 2018, 15 individuals
state agencies directly	received Tuberculosis Control	received Tuberculosis Control
affected by the	payments. The FAA issued	payments. The FAA issued
rulemaking	\$6,144.78 in Tuberculosis Control	\$8,500 in Tuberculosis Control
	payments in FY 2011. The	payments in FY 2018. No new
	Department does not anticipate	full-time employees have
	any new full-time employees as a	resulted from the rules.
	result of this rulemaking.	
	This program has minimal	
	economic impact on political	
	subdivisions, limited to the benefit	
	of minimizing exposure to	
	suspected or confirmed	
	communicable tuberculosis.	

Costs and benefits to political subdivisions directly affected by the rules	Not applicable	No change
Costs and benefits to		
businesses directly		
affected by the rules		
		No change
Impact on private and	There is no known direct impact on	No change
public employment	public and private employment as	No change
pasie simpleyment	a result of the rulemaking	
	J	
Impact on small	Not applicable	No change
businesses		
The probable cost and	Applicants and recipients of	No change
benefit to private persons	Tuberculosis Control Program	
and consumers who are	assistance directly benefit from the	
	rulemaking. There is no cost to	

directly affected by the	these applicants and recipients. No	
rulemaking	other private persons or	
	consumers are directly affected by	
	the rulemaking.	
Probable effects on state	Not applicable	No change
revenues		

Article 8, Short-term Crisis Services, was adopted under an exemption from Title 41, Chapter 6, effective August 4, 1997, and no economic impact statement was prepared at that time. This economic impact assessment reflects the current economic impact for these rules.

Case/Client Data: In SFY 2018, the Department's Short-term Crisis Services served 1,755 households through the provision of temporary assistance to low-income families experiencing an emergency need that could not be met immediately by their own income. Contracted providers are responsible for obtaining documentation to determine eligibility, authorizing payments, and assisting the client to secure services that will alleviate the crisis. There is no additional cost or economic benefit and no other private persons or consumers are directly affected by these rules.

**Agency Data:** These rules do not increase the cost or burden on the Department of Economic Security.

**Funding:** Federal funding is used to operate Short-term Crisis Services. The Department of Economic Security has received \$3.724 million in TANF funds to support the program during SFY 2019. These funds are allocated to Arizona's 11 Community Action Agencies and one Limited Purpose Agency to administer the program. There are no additional costs associated with these rules.

### 9. Has the agency received any business competitiveness analyses of the rules?

Yes 🗌	No 🖂
-------	------

### 10. Has the agency completed the course of action indicated in the agency's previous fiveyear review report?

Please state what the previous course of action was and if the agency did not complete the action, please explain why not.

### ARTICLE 1. TUBERCULOSIS CONTROL PROGRAM

No actions were planned relative to Article 1.

### ARTICLE 8. SHORT-TERM CRISIS SERVICES

No. The Department intended to amend Article 8 to eliminate the face-to-face interview after the December 2014 expiration of the regulatory moratorium. Subsequent regulatory moratoria which have been in place since December 2014 impacted the Department's rulemaking and the Department has not made amendments to Article 8.

# 11. A determination that the probable benefits of the rule outweigh within this state the probable costs of the rule, and the rule imposes the least burden and costs to regulated persons by the rule, including paperwork and other compliance costs, necessary to achieve the underlying regulatory objective:

With the amendments identified in this report, the Department believes that the rules would impose the least burden and costs to persons regulated by these rules, including paperwork and other compliance costs, necessary to achieve the underlying regulatory objectives.

The Department believes that the probable benefits of the rules will outweigh within this state the probable costs of the rules.

<u>12.</u>	Are the rules more stringent than corresponding federal laws? Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$
	Please provide a citation for the federal law(s). And if the rule(s) is more stringent, is there statutory authority to exceed the requirements of the federal law(s)?
	ARTICLE 1. TUBERCULOSIS CONTROL PROGRAM
	There is no corresponding federal law specific to Article 1.

The Department has determined that the rules contained in Article 8 are more stringent than corresponding TANF regulations only in that these rules require a face-to-face interview. TANF regulations outlined in 45 CFR Chapter II do not specifically address interview requirements.

13. For rules adopted after July 29, 2010 that require the issuance of a regulatory permit, license, or agency authorization, whether the rules are in compliance with the general permit requirements of A.R.S. § 41-1037 or explain why the agency believes an exception applies:

The Department has determined that A.R.S. § 41-1037 does not apply to the rules in Chapter 13 because the Department is not proposing a new rule or an amendment to an existing rule that requires the issuance of a regulatory permit, license or agency authorization.

### 14. Proposed course of action:

If possible, please identify a month and year by which the agency plans to complete the course of action.

### ARTICLE 1. TUBERCULOSIS CONTROL PROGRAM

In the interest of providing clarity and consistency to stakeholders, program participants and community partners, the Department is pursuing a rulemaking to outline the appellate process for all administrative hearings conducted by the Appellate Services Administration for programs administered by the Department. These rules will consolidate the various separate appeals rules contained in many of the specific Department program rules. The proposed rulemaking could eliminate separate hearing rules sections for more than 15 existing chapters under Title 6.

In September 2018, the Governor's Office approved an exception from the regulatory moratorium to allow the Department to proceed with a rulemaking to consolidate various Department appeal and hearing rules. The Department plans to submit a Notice of Final Rulemaking for the combined rules to Council in June 2020. Actions to repeal or revise rules in Chapter 13 will be identified as the language for the combined appeal and hearing rules are solidified.

### ARTICLE 8. SHORT-TERM CRISIS SERVICES

The Department is reassessing the potential for completing a rulemaking to address the items identified above with respect to Article 8 in the context of competing rulemaking priorities and the constraints of the regulatory moratorium. If the Department receives an exception from the moratorium, the Department anticipates submitting amended rules to Council within 20 months of receiving the exception.